Carnegie Observatories Astrophysics Series, Vol. 4:
Origin and Evolution of the Elements, 2004
ed. A. McWilliam and M. Rauch (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)

Abundances in Spiral and Irregular Galaxies

DONALD R. GARNETT
Steward Observatory, University of Arizona

Abstract

This paper reviews the current data on gas-phase abundances in spiral and irregular galaxies. I discuss the spatial distribution of abundances in galaxies and what we know about the variation of abundance ratios for elements commonly observed in ionized nebulae. Brief comments are made on the astrophysical significance of various observed abundance phenomena.

1.1 Introduction

It is certainly appropriate to review gas-phase abundances in galaxies for this symposium, as the study of abundances in galaxies began in large part in Pasadena, with the work of Leonard Searle and Wallace Sargent. Searle (1971) demonstrated, through a relatively simple ionization analysis, that the radial gradients of [O III] emission-line strengths observed in spiral galaxies were most likely explained by radial gradients in the composition of the interstellar gas. At about the same time, Searle & Sargent (1972), as well as Peimbert & Spinrad (1970), showed that oxygen abundances in dwarf irregular galaxies were much lower than in the solar neighborhood, while helium abundances were close to the solar neighborhood values. These results implied that dwarf galaxies were not as evolved as the Milky Way, and that most of the helium in the Universe came not from stars, but rather from nucleosynthesis in the Big Bang.

Since then abundance data have accumulated for some 50 spiral galaxies and numerous dwarf galaxies. Here I present an overview of the patterns of metallicity and element abundance ratios observed in spiral and irregular galaxies. I will discuss the results for both types of galaxies rather than separately; many aspects can be discussed for the combined groups, although there are a number of differences that could constitute the topic of an entire conference alone. The observational data to be discussed represent a highly selected sample of abundances, gas masses, and stellar photometry from sources too numerous to mention individually. I will employ abundances derived almost exclusively from H II region spectra, since they contribute the largest set of abundance data for spirals and irregulars in the local Universe.

1.2 Spatial Abundance Profiles

Most of the data on abundances in external galaxies come from spectroscopy of H II regions. Accurate abundances depend on high signal-to-noise ratio measurements of electron temperature. While this has been relatively easy for metal-poor galaxies, few mea-



Fig. 1.1. The gradient in O/H across the disk of the spiral galaxy M101 vs. galactocentric radius. The open circle is the measurement for Searle 5 by Kinkel & Rosa (1994). (Adapted from Kennicutt et al. 2003.)

surements were available for metal-rich regions in spirals. New studies are beginning to crack this barrier, as T_e measurements are being made for solar-metallicity H II regions (Castellanos, Díaz, & Terlevich 2002; Kennicutt, Bresolin, & Garnett 2003). Another happy development is that abundances are being measured for luminous stars in nearby galaxies (e.g., Monteverde et al. 1997; Korn et al. 2002). The stellar abundances appear to be in good general agreement with those derived from H II regions.

The spatial distribution of abundances in galaxies depends coarsely on the Hubble type. Unbarred or weakly barred spiral galaxies typically have a strong radial gradient in metallicity (Fig. 1.1), as determined from O/H (Vila-Costas & Edmunds 1992; Zaritsky, Kennicutt, & Huchra 1994; Ferguson, Gallagher, & Wyse 1998; van Zee et al. 1998). The derived O/H can drop by a factor of 10–50 from the nucleus of a galaxy to the outer disk. In the best-studied spirals, such as M101, the O/H gradients are closely exponential over many disk scale lengths. Irregular galaxies, by contrast, show little spatial variation in abundances, to high levels of precision (Kobulnicky & Skillman 1996), indicating a well-mixed interstellar medium (ISM). Strongly barred spiral galaxies show evidence that their O/H gradients are shallower than in unbarred spirals.

1.2.1 Metallicity versus Galaxy Luminosity/Mass

Detailed examination of these data shows that there are significant correlations between abundances and abundance gradients in spirals and irregulars with galaxy structural



Fig. 1.2. The correlation of spiral galaxy abundance (O/H) at the disk half-light radius from the galaxy nucleus vs. galaxy blue luminosity (*a*) maximum rotational speed $V_{\rm rot}$ (*b*).

properties. One well-established correlation is the relation between metallicity and galaxy luminosity (Lequeux et al. 1979; Garnett & Shields 1987; Skillman, Kennicutt, & Hodge 1989). This is shown in the top panel of Figure 1.2, where I plot O/H determined at the half-light radius of the disk ($R_{\rm eff}$) versus B-band absolute magnitude M_B. Zaritsky et al. (1994) noted the remarkable uniformity of this correlation over 11 magnitudes in galaxy luminosity, for ellipticals and star-forming spiral and irregular galaxies. The correlation between O/H



Fig. 1.3. Effective yields $y_{\rm eff}$ for nearby spiral and irregular galaxies versus rotation speed $V_{\rm rot}$. Filled squares represent the data for spirals, while the crosses show the data for irregulars. (Adapted from Garnett 2002.)

and rotation speed $V_{\rm rot}$ (bottom panel of Fig. 1.2) looks more interesting, as it suggests that the average metallicity approaches a constant for the most massive galaxies.

To the extent that blue luminosity reflects the mass of a system, the metallicity-luminosity correlation suggests a common mechanism regulating the global metallicity of galaxies. The most commonly invoked mechanism is selective loss of heavy elements in galactic winds (e.g., Marconi, Matteucci, & Tosi 1994). However, a metallicity-luminosity correlation could also occur if there is a systematic variation in gas fraction across the luminosity sequence. This question is of particular interest for understanding the evolution of dwarf galaxies (e.g., Lee et al. 2003a).

The question of loss of metals from galaxies is profound because of the existence of metals in low-column density Lyα forest systems (Ellison et al. 2000; Songaila 2001), which are probably gas clouds residing outside of galaxies. It is therefore a useful exercise to investigate what kinds of galaxies are potential candidates for ejecting heavy elements into the intergalactic medium.

This question can be examined further by studying metallicity as a function of gas fraction. In the context of the simple, closed-box, chemical evolution, Edmunds (1990) showed that outflows of gas and inflows of metal-poor gas cause galactic systems to deviate from the closed-box model in similar ways. Specifically, defining the effective yield

$$y_{\rm eff} = \frac{Z}{\ln(\mu^{-1})} \tag{1.1}$$

where Z is metallicity and μ is gas fraction, outflows of any kind and inflows of metal-poor gas tend to make the effective yield smaller than the true yield of the closed-box model. Thus, comparing effective yields for a sample of galaxies can provide information on the relative importance of gas flows from one galaxy to another. Such a comparison is presented in Figure 1.3 (Garnett 2002), which plots the effective yields derived for 22 spiral and 10 irregular galaxies versus $V_{\rm rot}$ using Equation (1.1) and published data on abundances, atomic and molecular gas, and photometry. The data show a factor of 10–20 systematic increase in $y_{\rm eff}$ from the least massive irregulars to the most massive spirals.

This result is striking verification that the yields derived for dwarf irregulars are significantly lower than in spiral galaxies. The trend toward small $y_{\rm eff}$ in the least massive galaxies suggests that it is the loss of metals in galactic winds that drives the correlation, although other factors such as tidal stripping may also be important. The plot suggests that galaxies with $V_{\rm rot} < 100$–150 km s^{-1} lose significant quantities of metals, while more massive ones retain essentially all their metals; this transition is consistent with estimates of Martin (1999).

1.2.2 Abundance Gradient Variations

Figure 1.4 shows that the steepness of abundance gradients (expressed in dex/kpc) decreases with galaxy luminosity. However, more luminous galaxies have larger disk scale lengths, and so if one looks at the gradient per disk scale length (Fig. 1.4, bottom panel), the correlation goes away. Considering the errors in the computed gradients (typically 25%), then the scatter in the slopes of gradients per scale length may be consistent with purely observational scatter. This is related to the exponential abundance gradients noted previously. It has been suggested (Combes 1998) that purely exponential gradients may be explained by "viscous disk" models (Lin & Pringle 1987; Clarke 1989) for disk evolution; if the time scale for viscous transport of angular momentum is comparable to the star formation time scale (with the two time scales perhaps connected through the gravitational instability), such models naturally produce an exponential stellar disk. Another interesting possibility (Prantzos & Boissier 2000) is that the variation in gradients is a result of evolution of disks that follow the scaling relations for galaxies in the cold dark matter model for galaxy formation (Mo, Mao, & White 1998). In the chemical evolution models of Prantzos & Boissier (2000), average metallicity correlates with galaxy mass, while the angular momentum parameter determines the gradient slope; galaxies with larger angular momentum have longer scale lengths and shallower abundance gradients. This would be remarkable since it is not obvious that the present-day composition of galaxies should have any memory of the initial conditions for galaxy formation.

1.2.3 Barred Spirals

Bars are interesting because the gravitational potential of a bar is expected to induce a large-scale radial gas flow, through radiative shocking and subsequent loss of angular momentum as the gas passes through the bar (Barnes 1991). The radial flow could significantly alter the metallicity distribution by mixing in gas from outer radii, thus weakening composition gradients. The evidence so far accumulated indicates that barred spirals generally have shallower composition gradients than weakly barred or nonbarred spirals (Martin & Roy 1994; Zaritsky et al. 1994). Martin & Roy (1994) have argued that the slope of the composition gradient correlates with both bar length and bar strength (the ratio of bar



Fig. 1.4. The correlation of abundance gradient vs. M_B. The upper panel shows abundance gradients per kpc, while the lower panel shows gradients per unit disk scale length. (Adapted from Garnett et al. 1997.)

length to width). This is illustrated in Figure 1.5, which shows the O/H gradient per kpc versus bar length a relative to the photometric radius (*top panel*) and versus bar strength E_B = 10(1−b/a), where b is the bar width (*bottom panel*). The plots show that (1) the nonbarred spirals show a wide range of values for gradient slopes, although on average they are steeper



Fig. 1.5. *Top*: O/H gradient per kpc vs. bar length a relative to the photometric radius R_{25}. Filled squares are barred spirals, unfilled squares are nonbarred spirals, and crosses are irregular galaxies. *Bottom*: O/H gradient vs. bar strength $E_B = 10(1-b/a)$, where b/a is the ratio of bar minor and major axes. (Adapted from Martin & Roy 1994.)

than those for barred spirals, and (2) the O/H gradients for the barred spirals tend to get shallower with increasing bar length and bar strength.

Curiously, some barred spirals (e.g., NGC 1365; Roy & Walsh 1997) show an O/H gra-

dient within the bar, with flattening only outside the bar. One way to explain this is if strong star formation occurs in the bar, building up abundances faster than the radial flow can homogenize them (Friedli, Benz, & Kennicutt 1994).

1.2.4 Cluster Spirals and Environment

Environment and interactions appear to play a significant role in the evolution of galaxies, particularly in dense environments. Interactions with satellites may be responsible for the significant fraction of lopsided spiral galaxies (Rudnick & Rix 1998). Disk asymmetry may affect the inferred spatial distribution of metals in the interstellar gas. For example, Kennicutt & Garnett (1996) noted an asymmetry in O/H between the NW and SE sides of M101, which may be related to the asymmetry in the structure of the disk. Zaritsky (1995) found a possible correlation between disk $B-V$ color and the slope of the O/H gradient, such that bluer galaxies tended to have steeper gradients. He suggested that accretion of metal-poor, gas-rich dwarf galaxies in the outer disk could steepen abundance gradients and make the colors bluer through increased star formation.

Rich clusters offer a variety of galaxy-galaxy and galaxy-intracluster medium interactions. The cluster environment affects the morphology of galaxies (Dressler 1980). It is also known that spirals near the center of rich clusters (e.g., the Virgo cluster) show evidence for stripping of H I (Warmels 1988; Cayatte et al. 1994). Such stripping is inferred to result from interaction of the galaxy ISM with the hot X-ray intracluster gas. The degree of H I stripping correlates with projected distance from the cluster core.

If field galaxies evolve through continuing infall of gas (Gunn & Gott 1972), then the truncation of H I disks in cluster spirals should have an effect on chemical evolution. Infall of metal-poor gas reduces the metallicity of the gas at a given gas fraction. Truncation of such infall should then cause the chemical evolution of cluster spirals to behave more like the simple closed-box model, and thus should have higher metallicities than comparable field spirals. This idea has led to several studies of abundances in Virgo spirals (Henry, Pagel, & Chincarini 1994; Henry et al. 1996; Skillman et al. 1996; note also Lee, McCall, & Richer 2003b for dwarf irregulars). The results indicate that cluster spirals with the largest H I deficiencies have higher O/H abundances than field spirals with comparable M_B, rotation speeds, and Hubble types, while spirals with only modest or little H I stripping have abundances comparable to those of similar field spirals. The samples studied so far have been small, and one must worry about possible systematic errors in abundances. This is an area that could benefit from further study with larger galaxy samples and more secure abundance measurements.

1.3 Element Abundance Ratios in Spiral and Irregular Galaxies

The abundance ratios of heavy elements are sensitive to the initial mass function (IMF), the star formation history, and variations in stellar nucleosynthesis with, for example, metallicity. In particular, comparison of abundances of elements produced in stars with relatively long lifetimes (such as C, N, Fe, and the *s*-process elements) with those produced in short-lived stars (such as O) probe the star formation history. Below, I review the accumulated data on C, N, S, and Ar abundances (relative to O) in spiral and irregular galaxies, covering 2 orders of magnitude in metallicity (as measured by O/H). The data are taken from a variety of sources in the literature on abundances for H II regions.



Fig. 1.6. He/H vs. O/H for H II regions in M101 (Kennicutt et al. 2003). The curves show two fits to the $\Delta Y/\Delta(O/H)$ relation for dwarf galaxies from Olive et al. (1997).

1.3.1 Helium

Helium, the second most abundant element, has significance for cosmology and stellar structure. Most ^{4}He was produced in the Big Bang, and the primordial mass fraction Y_p is a constraint on the photon/baryon ratio and thus on the cosmological model. The He mass fraction also affects stellar structure. Helium abundances are difficult to determine in any star but OB stars, and in these stars the surface abundance of He may be affected by evolution. On the other hand, He I recombination lines are relatively easy to measure in ionized nebulae, and so a large amount of data is available on He/H in the ISM.

A great deal of effort has been spent discussing the determination of helium abundances and Y_p in metal-poor dwarf galaxies (e.g., Steigman 2003), so I will be brief on this aspect. Peimbert & Torres-Peimbert (1974) made early estimates of Y_p by assuming that the He mass fraction varies linearly with metallicity (or O/H), and thus one could extrapolate He abundances in nebulae with a wide range of O/H to the pre-galactic He abundance at O/H = 0. Today there are very high signal-to-noise ratio data for He in approximately 40 metal-poor dwarf irregulars, so the extrapolation to O/H = 0 can be estimated to high statistical precision.

The good news is that the best current estimates of Y_p agree to within 5%. This is amazing agreement for measurements derived from spectroscopy of distant galaxies. Nevertheless, the differences in Y_p estimates are still significant for Big Bang nucleosynthesis theory, as the two largest studies obtain values for Y_p that disagree at the 4–5 σ significance level (cf. Olive, Skillmanm & Steigman 1997; Izotov & Thuan 1998; Peimbert, Peimbert, &

Torres-Peimbert 2000; Peimbert 2003). Depending on which estimate is considered most reliable, Y_p either agrees with the best current estimate of D/H, or it does not. At present the battleground for Y_p is focused on sources of systematic error, and these are likely to yield the greatest improvements in He measurements, rather than measuring more data points.

Helium abundances in spiral galaxies are less well determined, because of more uncertain electron temperatures. The He abundance does have an effect on ionization structure, so it is of interest to know how He/H varies with metallicity in the inner disks of spirals. Figure 1.6 shows He abundances in M101 H II regions, along with extrapolations of fits to the He/H – O/H correlation in metal-poor dwarf galaxies (Olive et al. 1997). The results suggest that $\Delta Y/\Delta(O/H)$ can be fit by a single linear relation up to at least solar abundances.

1.3.2 Carbon and Nitrogen

Carbon abundances in H II regions have been difficult to determine because the important ionization states (C^+, C^{+2}) have no strong forbidden lines in the optical spectrum. Only the UV spectrum shows collisionally excited lines from both C^+ and C^{+2}. A number of studies of carbon abundances in extragalactic H II regions were made with *IUE* (e.g., Dufour, Shields, & Talbot 1982; Peimbert, Peña, & Torres-Peimbert 1986; Dufour, Garnett, & Shields 1988), but for the most part the *IUE* observations suffered from low signal-to-noise ratio.

The higher UV sensitivity of *HST* offered greatly improved measurements of UV emission lines from [C II] and C III]. Figure 1.7 shows data for C/O as a function of O/H in dwarf irregular and spiral galaxies from *HST* measurements (Garnett et al. 1995a, 1999; Kobulnicky & Skillman 1998). Note that some C (and O) is expected to be depleted onto interstellar dust grains. It is likely that the C/O values should all be increased by 0.1–0.2 dex, but a systematic variation in the fractional depletions with metallicity is not expected.

Figure 1.7 shows a trend of steeply increasing C/O for log O/H > -4, while C/O is roughly constant for lower O/H. This is in agreement with observations of C/O in disk stars in the Galaxy (Gustafsson et al. 1999). The notable trend in Figure 1.7 is the apparent "secondary" behavior of C with respect to O, despite the fact that C (i.e., ^{12}C) is primary. Tinsley (1979) demonstrated that such variations can be understood as the result of finite stellar lifetimes and delays in the ejection of elements from low- and intermediate-mass stars. If C is produced mainly in intermediate-mass stars, then the enrichment of C in the ISM is delayed with respect to O, which is produced in high-mass stars. On the other hand, C may also have a metallicity-dependent yield in massive stars that results from the effects of stellar mass loss (Maeder 1992). It is not yet certain which effect dominates to produce the rise in C/O. The biggest uncertainties revolve around the theoretical yields, primarily due to the unresolved uncertainties in the $^{12}C(\alpha,\gamma)^{16}O$ reaction rate (Hale 1998), and the effects of convective mixing during helium burning (Arnett 1996).

1.3.3 Nitrogen

The lower panel of Figure 1.7 shows the variation of N/O with O/H in spiral and irregular galaxies. The trend is very similar to that for C/O; N/O is roughly constant at log N/O ≈ -1.5 for log O/H < -3.7, and increases proportionally with O/H in more metal-rich galaxies. The second component is produced via the classical CNO cycle during hydrogen burning in stars and requires the presence of C and O in the star from birth ("secondary" N), while the first component is postulated to come from the CN cycle on freshly synthesized C



Fig. 1.7. *Top:* C/O abundance ratios from spectroscopy of H II regions in spiral and irregular galaxies. *Open symbols*: irregular galaxies; *filled symbols*: spiral galaxy H II regions. *Bottom:* N/O vs. O/H in spiral and irregular galaxies. *Open circles*: Garnett (1990); *open diamonds*: Thuan, Izotov, & Lipovetsky (1995); *filled circles*: Díaz et al. (1991), Garnett et al. (1997), and Kennicutt et al. (2003); *stars*: high-redshift absorption-line systems.

(from He burning) that has been convectively "dredged-up" into a hot H-burning zone at the base of the convective envelope, and does not require an initial seed of C or O ("primary" N).



Fig. 1.8. Ne/O abundance ratios in spiral and irregular galaxies. Symbols are the same as in Fig. 1.7.

The latter process is most commonly thought to occur in the asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stage of intermediate-mass stars (Iben & Truran 1978), but has been found to occur in models of massive stars with increased convective overshooting or rotationally induced mixing (e.g., Langer et al. 1997). Some scatter is seen in N/O for dwarf galaxies (Kobulnicky & Skillman 1998). This may be the result of localized enrichment by Wolf-Rayet stars.

Recent studies of high-redshift Lyα absorption systems (plotted as stars in Fig. 1.7) have found objects with N/S, N/Si, and N/O ratios much lower than in the dwarf galaxies (Pettini, Lipman, & Hunstead 1995; Lu, Sargent, & Barlow 1998; Prochaska et al. 2002). A wide range in inferred N/O is seen in the damped Lyα systems, but the lowest values are as much as a factor of 10 smaller than the average for irregular galaxies. The results are consistent with the idea that the damped Lyα systems represent lines of sight through very young galaxies, with an age spread of a few hundred Myr, the time scale for enrichment of N from AGB stars.

1.3.4 Neon, Sulfur, and Argon

Neon, sulfur, and argon are products of the late stages of massive star evolution. ^{20}Ne results from carbon burning, while S and Ar are products of O burning. As they are all considered part of the α-element group, their abundances are expected to track O/H closely. Deviations from this may point to unsuspected variations in the stellar IMF or nucleosynthesis.

Neon abundances in extragalactic H II regions are derived primarily from optical mea-



Fig. 1.9. *Top:* S/O abundance ratios in spiral and irregular galaxies. *Bottom:* Ar/O abundance ratios in spiral and irregular galaxies. Symbols are the same as in Fig. 1.7.

surements of [Ne III], although spacecraft measurements of the IR [Ne II] and [Ne III] fine-structure lines are becoming available. Few measurements of Ne are available for metal-rich objects. The data that are available show that Ne tracks O quite closely (Fig. 1.8), in agreement with results from planetary nebulae (Henry 1989).

Figure 1.9 shows data for sulfur and argon. For log O/H < -3.5, S/O and Ar/O are essen-



Fig. 1.10. Si/O abundance ratios in irregular galaxies (filled circles; from Garnett et al. 1995b). The open squares represent two different measurements for the Orion nebula, while the stars show averages for five samples of Galactic B stars.

tially constant with O/H, and fall within the range predicted by Weaver & Woosley (1993). For log O/H > -3.5, however, there is evidence for declining S/O and Ar/O as O/H increases. The cause of the decline is not clear. It is possible that the ionization corrections for unseen S^{+3} have been underestimated in the more metal-rich H II regions. More observational study, especially IR spectroscopy, of metal-rich H II regions is needed to rule out ionization or excitation effects.

Because S and Ar are produced close to the stellar core, the yields of S and Ar may be sensitive to conditions immediately prior to and during the supernova explosion, such as explosive processing or fall-back onto the compact remnant (Weaver & Woosley 1993). If real, however, the declining S/O and Ar/O cannot be accounted for by simple variations in the stellar mass function and hydrostatic nucleosynthesis (Garnett 1989); some variation in massive star and/or supernova nucleosynthesis at high metallicities (perhaps due to strong stellar mass loss) may be needed.

1.3.5 Other Elements

Few other elements have been measured systematically in extragalactic H II regions over a wide range of O/H. Emission from [Fe II] and [Fe III] has been detected in a number of H II regions (Rodríguez 2002), but iron is severely depleted onto grains. Silicon can be measured in H II regions through the UV Si III] doublet at $\lambda\lambda$1883, 1892 Å. Figure 1.10 shows Si/O measurements from Garnett et al. (1995b) for a small sample of metal-poor galaxies, along with data for several samples of B stars and the Orion nebula. Si/O appears

to be roughly constant but smaller than the average for the Sun and the solar neighborhood B stars. Silicon is certainly depleted onto grains in the ISM, and the results in Figure 1.10 are consistent with a Si depletion of about −0.2 to −0.4 dex in the H II region sample. This is probably appropriate for low-density ionized gas (Sofia, Cardelli, & Savage 1994).

Iron has a variety of emission lines from [Fe II] and [Fe III] in the optical spectrum. The [Fe III] λ4658 Å is often observed in extragalactic H II regions. Izotov & Thuan (1999) measured [Fe III] in several metal-poor emission-line galaxies and derived Fe abundances. They obtained Fe/O ratios that were similar to the values found for metal-poor stars in the Galactic halo and used this to argue that the their emission-line galaxies were very young. However, Fe is greatly depleted onto grains in the ISM, so it is improbable that O/Fe ratios in H II regions can be used to interpret the enrichment history of the ISM without better understanding of the depletion factors.

1.4 Open Questions and Concluding Remarks

In conclusion, I would like to enumerate a few questions regarding the chemical evolution of galaxies that seem to need further investigation.

- What are the primary mechanisms determining the shape and slope of abundance gradients in spiral galaxies? We have seen that chemical evolution models tend to predict that composition gradients should get shallower in the inner disks spirals, but this has not been observed in real galaxies. Does viscous evolution play a role in maintaining an exponential gradient, by transferring new gas into the inner disk? This is essentially a hydrodynamical problem.
- How homogeneous are abundances in galaxies at a given place and time? Conflicting studies have argued for significant ($\pm$0.2–0.3 dex) variations in abundances on small scales, or for a very homogeneous composition ($<$ 0.1 dex variations). The question is relevant to the time scales for cooling and mixing of stellar ejecta with the ambient ISM. Most chemical evolution models assume instantaneous mixing, but is this a good approximation?
- Is infall of gas presently occuring in galaxies, and how does the rate evolve with time? This is a big unknown, since most chemical evolution models use relatively slow, ongoing infall of metal-poor gas to suppress the fraction of metal-poor G dwarfs. Observational evidence for classical infall is sketchy. The high-velocity clouds seen at high latitudes may represent infall, or may be part of a Galactic fountain flow, or may be associated with interaction between the Galaxy and its satellite galaxies.
- What galaxies may be losing metals to the intergalactic medium, and how much do they contribute? Is there a threshold mass above which galaxies retain metals?
- Does galaxy environment influence composition? The Virgo cluster studies need to be followed up by larger samples in a wider variety of cluster environments. The spiral-rich Ursa Major cluster and the Coma cluster are two obvious choices for continued study.
- Is there zero metallicity gas (or stars for that matter) in galaxies? Pre-enrichment by an initial stellar Population III also provides a solution to the G-dwarf problem and to the origin of metals seen in Lyα forest clouds. What is the composition of the huge gas reservoirs in the outer parts of spirals and irregulars?

We are seeing great improvements in the study of abundances in nearby galaxies, particularly with the new space-based UV and IR observatories, which are greatly improving the

data for elements besides oxygen. With these new data, we are in a better position to connect the present-day abundance patterns in galaxies with those observed in high-redshift gas clouds. Eventually, emission-line spectroscopy of distant galaxies should greatly expand our information on heavy element abundances at early times, and allow us to trace the evolution of metallicity in the universe in greater detail. This will be an important complement to the absorption-line work on damped Lyα systems, as the connection between the emission-line gas and the stellar component is much clearer, and the disk component can be sampled more completely than with absorption studies.

Many of the questions mentioned reference hydrodynamics. Star formation, the evolution of galaxies, and stellar nucleosynthesis all involve hydrodynamics at fundamental levels, so improved hydrodynamical modeling of all of these phenomena should ultimately lead to a better understanding of galaxy evolution. Determining the mechanisms that connect abundances to galaxy structure should provide a continuing challenge to galaxy evolution theorists.

References

Arnett, D. 1996, Supernovae and Nucleosynthesis (Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press)
Barnes, J. 1991, in IAU Symp. 126, Dynamics of Galaxies and Their Molecular Cloud Distributions, ed. F. Combes & F. Casoli (Dordrecht: Kluwer), 363
Castellanos, M., Díaz, A. I., & Terlevich, E. 2002, MNRAS, 329, 315
Cayatte, V., Kotanyi, C., Balkowski, C., & van Gorkom, J. H. 1994, AJ, 107, 1003
Clarke, C. J. 1989, MNRAS, 238, 283
Combes, F. 1998, in Abundance Profiles: Diagnostic Tools for Galaxy History, ed. D. Friedli et al. (San Francisco: ASP), 300
Díaz, A. I., Terlevich, E., Vílchez, J. M., Pagel, B. E. J., & Edmunds, M. G. 1991, MNRAS, 253, 245
Dressler, A. 1980, ApJ, 236, 351
Dufour, R. J., Garnett, D. R., & Shields, G. A. 1988, ApJ, 332, 752
Dufour, R. J., Shields, G. A., & Talbot, R. J., Jr. 1982, ApJ, 252, 461
Edmunds, M. G. 1990, MNRAS, 246, 678
Ellison, S. L., Songaila, S., Schayes, J., & Pettini, M. 2000, AJ, 120, 1175
Ferguson, A. M. N., Gallagher, J. S., & Wyse, R. F. G. 1998, AJ, 116, 673
Friedli, D., Benz, W., & Kennicutt, R. C., Jr. 1994, ApJ, 430, L105
Garnett, D. R. 1989, ApJ, 345, 282
——. 1990, ApJ, 363, 142
——. 2002, ApJ, 581, 1019
Garnett, D. R., Dufour, R. J., Peimbert, M., Torres-Peimbert, S., Shields, G. A., Skillman, E. D., Terlevich, E., & Terlevich, R. J. 1995b, ApJ, 449, L77
Garnett, D. R., & Shields, G. A. 1987, ApJ, 317, 82
Garnett, D. R., Shields, G. A., Peimbert, M., Torres-Peimbert, S., Skillman, E. D., Dufour, R. J., Terlevich, E., & Terlevich, R. J. 1999, ApJ, 513, 168
Garnett, D. R., Shields, G. A., Skillman, E. D., Sagan, S. P., & Dufour, R. J. 1997, ApJ, 489, 63
Garnett, D. R., Skillman, E. D., Dufour, R. J., Peimbert, M., Torres-Peimbert, S., Terlevich, E., Terlevich, R. J., & Shields, G. A. 1995a, ApJ, 443, 142
Gunn, J. E., & Gott, J. R. 1972, ApJ, 176, 1
Gustafsson, B., Karlsson, T., Olsson, E., Edvardsson, B., & Ryde, N. 1999, A&A, 342, 426
Hale, G. M. 1998, in Stellar Evolution, Stellar Explosions, and Galactic Chemical Evolution, ed. A. Mezzacappa (Bristol: Institute of Physics), 17
Henry, R. B. C. 1989, MNRAS, 241, 453
Henry, R. B. C., Balkowski, C., Cayatte, V., Edmunds, M. G., & Pagel, B. E. J. 1996, MNRAS, 293, 635
Henry, R. B. C., Pagel, B. E. J., & Chincarini, G. L. 1994, MNRAS, 266, 421
Iben, I., Jr., & Truran, J. W., Jr. 1978, ApJ, 220, 980
Izotov, Y. I., & Thuan, T. X. 1998, ApJ, 500, 188
——. 1999, ApJ, 511, 639

Kennicutt, R. C., Jr., Bresolin, F., & Garnett, D. R. 2003, ApJ, 591, 801
Kennicutt, R. C., Jr., & Garnett, D. R. 1996, ApJ, 456, 504
Kinkel, U., & Rosa, M. 1994, A&A, 282, 37
Kobulnicky, H. A., & Skillman, E. D. 1996, ApJ, 471, 211
——. 1998, ApJ, 497, 601
Korn, A. J., Keller, S. C., Kaufer, A., Langer, N., Przybilla, N., Stahl, O., & Wolf, B. 2002, A&A, 385, 143
Langer, N., Fliegner, J., Heger, A., & Woosley, S. E. 1997, Nucl. Phys. A, 621, 457
Lee, H., McCall, M. L., Kingsburgh, R. L., Ross, R., & Stevenson, C. C. 2003a, AJ, 125, 146
Lee, H., McCall, M. L., & Richer, M. 2003b, AJ, 125, 2975
Lequeux, J., Rayo, J. F., Serrano, A., Peimbert, M., & Torres-Peimbert, S. 1979, A&A, 80, 155
Lin, D. N. C., & Pringle, J. E. 1987, ApJ, 320, L87
Lu, L., Sargent, W. L. W., & Barlow, T. A. 1998, AJ, 115, 55
Maeder, A. 1992, A&A, 264, 105
Marconi, G., Matteucci, F., & Tosi, M. 1994, MNRAS, 270, 35
Martin, C. L. 1999, ApJ, 513, 156
Martin, P., & Roy, J.-R. 1994, ApJ, 424, 599
Mo, H., Mao, S., & White, S. D. M. 1998, MNRAS, 295, 319
Monteverde, M. I., Herrero, A., Lennon, D. J., & Kudritzki, R.-P. 1997, ApJ, 474, 107
Olive, K. A., Skillman, E. D., & Steigman, G. 1997, ApJ, 483, 788
Peimbert, A. 2003, ApJ, 584, 735
Peimbert, M., Peimbert, A., & Torres-Peimbert, S. 2000, ApJ, 541, 688
Peimbert, M., Peña, M. & Torres-Peimbert, S. 1986, PASP, 98, 1032
Peimbert, M., & Spinrad, H. 1970, ApJ, 159, 809
Peimbert, M., & Torres-Peimbert, S. 1974, ApJ, 193, 327
Prochaska, J. X., Henry, R. B. C., O'Meara, J. M., Tytler, D., Wolfe, A. M., Kirkman, D., Lubin, D., & Suzuki, N. 2002, PASP, 114, 933
Pettini, M., Lipman, K., & Hunstead, R. W. 1995, ApJ, 451, 100
Prantzos, N., & Boissier, S. 2000, MNRAS, 313, 338
Rodríguez, M. 2002, A&A, 389, 556
Roy, J.-R.., & Walsh, J. R. 1997, MNRAS, 288, 715
Rudnick, G., & Rix, H.-W. 1998, AJ, 116, 1163
Searle, L. 1971, ApJ, 168, 327
Searle, L., & Sargent, W. L. W. 1972, ApJ, 173, 25
Skillman, E. D., Kennicutt, R. C., Jr., & Hodge, P. W. 1989, ApJ, 347, 875
Skillman, E. D., Kennicutt, R. C., Jr., Shields, G. A., & Zaritsky, D. 1996, ApJ, 462, 147
Sofia, U. J., Cardelli, J. A, & Savage, B. D. 1994, ApJ 430, 650
Songaila, A. 2001, ApJ, 561, L153
Steigman, G. 2003, in Cosmochemistry: The Melting Pot of the Elements (XIIIth Canary Islands Winter School on Astrophysics), ed. C. Esteban, R. Garcia, & A. Herrero (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), in press
Thuan, T. X., Izotov, Y. I., & Lipovetsky, V. A. 1995, ApJ, 445, 108
Tinsley, B. M. 1979, ApJ, 229, 1046
van Zee, L., Salzer, J. J., Haynes, M. P., O'Donoghue, A. A. & Balonek, T. J. 1998, AJ, 116, 2805
Vila-Costas, M. B., & Edmunds, M. G. 1992, MNRAS, 259, 121
Warmels, R. H. 1988, A&AS, 72, 427
Weaver, T. A., & Woosley, S. E. 1993, Phys. Rep., 227, 65
Zaritsky, D. 1995, ApJ, 448, L17
Zaritsky, D., Kennicutt, R. C., Jr., & Huchra, J. P. 1994, ApJ, 420, 87